Attorney's Docket No.: 13751-056001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Dinah W.Y. Sah et al. Art Unit: 1647

Serial No.: 10/661,984 Examiner: Robert S. Landsman

Filed: September 12, 2003 Conf. No.: 9412

Title : NOVEL NEUROTROPHIC FACTORS

MAIL STOP PETITIONS / OPLA

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §1.705(b)

Applicant hereby petitions for reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) accorded the above-referenced patent application at the time of allowance. The Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) included with the Notice of Allowance mailed on September 19, 2006 states that the Total PTA is 418 days. As detailed herein, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the PTA calculation to increase the Total PTA from 418 days to 467 days.

The Issue Fee Transmittal along with fee payment for the above-referenced application is being filed today under separate cover to Mail Stop Issue Fee.

Applicant : Dinah W.Y. Sah et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 13751-056001

Serial No.: 10/661,984 Filed: September 12, 2003

Filed : September 12, 2003 Page : 2 of 4

REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT CALCULATIONS

A review of the PTA History in the PAIR system shows that the United States Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) calculated the PTA for events between the filing of the application and allowance as follows:

 PTO mailing of a first Office Action, due at 14 months from the application filing date of September 12, 2003, and actually mailed on February 22, 2006.

Applicant agrees with the calculation of 467 days of PTO Delay.

(2) Applicant's response after Non-Final Action due on May 22, 2006 and actually received by the PTO on May 19, 2006.

Applicant <u>agrees</u> with the calculation of 0 days APPL delay related to the filing of this response.

(3) Applicant's Supplemental Response mailed by express mail on July 5, 2006. Applicant <u>disagrees</u> with the calculated APPL delay of 49 days. As detailed in sections (A) and (B) below, applicant contests two distinct aspects of the calculation of 49 days of APPL delay.

(A) Applicant's Supplemental Response Should not Have Triggered Applicant Delay
As referenced in item (3) above, Applicant filed a Supplemental Response on
July 5, 2006 in direct response to the Examiner's request for same made during a telephonic
interview with the undersigned on June 22, 2006. The Examiner's request for a
Supplemental Response was accommodated by Applicant in a timely manner and mailed to
the PTO by express mail. This Supplemental Response to the Examiner's telephonic request
does not constitute a failure of Applicant to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude
examination and is specifically accommodated in 37 CFR 1.704(c)(8). As a result, Applicant
respectfully submits that no APPL Delay is warranted and that the 49 days of APPL Delay
should be removed in its entirety.

Applicant: Dinah W.Y. Sah et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 13751-056001

Serial No.: 10/661,984
Filed: September 12, 2003
Page: 3 of 4

Page : 3 of 4

(B) Applicant's Supplemental Response was mailed by Express Mail on <u>July 5, 2006</u> (not July 7, 2006)

In addition to the issue in section (A) above of whether any APPL Delay should be applied, the number of 49 days is the result of a clear clerical error of the PTO. Applicant's supplemental response was mailed by express mail on July 5, 2006 (copies of the express mail declaration and receipt, both correctly stamped July 5, 2006, are enclosed) but was incorrectly entered by the PTO as July 7, 2006 (a copy of the date-stamped postcard, incorrectly stamped July 7, 2006, is enclosed). Since the date stamped on the postcard should have been July 5, 2006 (not July 7, 2006), APPL delay should have been entered as at most 47 days (instead of 49 days). However, Applicant emphasizes that the request under this section is merely secondary to that made in section (A) above and will be rendered moot if APPL delay is recalculated as 0 days (as requested in section (A)).

In consideration of the events described herein, Applicant respectfully requests the removal of the 49 days of APPL Delay resulting in a re-calculated determination of PTA at Allowance as follows:

- PTO Delay remains at 467 days
- APPL Delay adjusted from 49 to 0 days
- Total PTA adjusted from 418 days to 467 days

The petition fee required by 37 CFR 1.18(e) in the amount of \$200.00 is being paid concurrently herewith on the Electronic Filing System (EFS) by way of Deposit Account authorization. Please apply any other charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050, referencing Attorney Docket No. 13751-056001.

Applicant : Dinah W.Y. Sah et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 13751-056001

Serial No.: 10/661,984 Filed: September 12, 2003

Page : 4 of 4

Thank you for your consideration of this Petition. If there are any questions or additional information required, please call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 47,443

December 19, 2006

Address all correspondence to: Jack Brennan

Fish & Richardson P.C.

P.O. Box 1022 Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022 Telephone: (212) 765-5070 Facsimile: (612) 288-9696

21463469.doc

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING West WWW.USPS.COM ... Call 1-800-222-1811

PATENT

Attorney's Docket No.: 13751-056001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Dinah W.Y. Sah et al.

Serial No.: 10/661,984

Filed: September 12, 2003

Title NOVEL NEUROTROPHIC FACTORS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 EV915265692US

DECLARATION OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL"

Francisco Robles declares as follows:

1. I reside at:

292 Delancy Street, Apt. 11C New York, NY 10002

and am an employee of:

Fish & Richardson P.C. Citigroup Center 52nd Floor 153 East 53rd Street New York, New York 10022-4611

2. On July 5, 2006, I deposited in the mail, "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

- On July 3, 2006, I deposited in the man, express want rost office to Audiesses service of the United States Postal Service, the contents of the envelope for which "Express Mail" receipt No. EV 915265692 US was issued addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
 - 3. Attached hereto is a true copy of the "Express Mail" receipt No. EV 915265692 US.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-identified application or any patents issued thereon.

Date: July 5, 2006

ta C

06 Do Nor Mark in This Area	ceretary init			8410	JOH 07 mm	
July 5, 2006	Attorney/Si JQB/rds					(1996); 344-9351 (
EV 915265692 US	Filing Date September 12, 2003	Title of the Invention NOVEL NEUROTROPHIC FACTORS	al.	P2/NsGeneP120US01	onse (4 pages)	Kotzbauer et al., NATURE 384:467-470 (1996); Lindahl et al., J. Biological Chem. 276:9344-9351 (2001).
Attorney's Docket No. 13751-056001	Application No. 10/661,984	Title of the Invention NOVEL NEUROTI	Applicant Dinah W.Y. Sah et al.	Client Reference No. Biogen IdaeCO45 US CIP2/NeGeneP120US01	Unclosures -Amendment/Response (4 pages)	Kotzbauer et al. Lindahl et al., J.